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National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) Student Satisfaction Survey (SSS) - Key indicator 2.7.1 Under Criterion II of Teaching-Learning and Evaluation

## Analysis of Student Satisfaction Survey (SSS)

| Academic Year | $: 2021-22$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Duration of Survey | $: 30^{\text {th }}$ April-7th May 2022 |
| Total Number of Students (All Years) | $: 4082$ |
| Number of Students Participated in Survey | $: 2934$ |
| Percentage of Participation | $: \mathbf{7 1 . 8 8 \%}$ |

## Question Wise Analysis

| Questions and Options |  | No. of Students Opted | Percentage of Students Opted | GPA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1 | How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? |  |  |  |
| A | 85 to 100\% | 1686 | 57.46 | 3.32 |
| B | 70 to $84 \%$ | 645 | 21.98 |  |
| C | 55 to 69\% | 465 | 15.85 |  |
| D | 30 to $54 \%$ | 106 | 3.61 |  |
| E | Below 30\% | 33 | 1.12 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Q2 | How well did the teacher prepare for the class? |  |  |  |
| A | Thoroughly | 1422 | 48.47 | 3.45 |
| B | Satisfactorily | 1420 | 48.40 |  |
| C | Poorly | 67 | 2.28 |  |
| D | Indifferently | 17 | 0.58 |  |
| E | Won't teach at all | 9 | 0.31 |  |



| Q7 | The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, field visit opportunities for students. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Regularly | 1623 | 55.32 | 3.20 |
| B | Often | 641 | 21.85 |  |
| C | Sometimes | 409 | 13.94 |  |
| D | Rarely | 149 | 5.08 |  |
| E | Never | 113 | 3.85 |  |
|  | Never |  |  |  |
| Q8 | The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth. |  |  |  |
| A | Significantly | 1303 | 44.41 | 3.25 |
| B | Very well | 1158 | 39.47 |  |
| C | Moderately | 387 | 13.19 |  |
| D | Marginally | 56 | 1.91 |  |
| E | Not at all | 31 | 1.06 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Q9 | The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow. |  |  |  |
| A | Strongly agree | 1446 | 49.28 | 3.36 |
| B | Agree | 1154 | 39.33 |  |
| C | Neutral | 278 | 9.47 |  |
| D | Disagree | 39 | 1.33 |  |
| E | Strongly disagree | 18 | 0.61 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Q10 | Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, cours outcomes, programme outcomes and programme specific outcomes. |  |  |  |
| A | Every time | 1801 | 64.45 | 3.50 |
| B | Usually | 873 | 29.75 |  |
| C | Occasionally/Sometimes | 192 | 6.54 |  |
| D | Rarely | 60 | 2.04 |  |
| E | Never | 9 | 0.31 |  |



| Q15 | The istitution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Strongly agree | 1497 | 51.02 | 3.37 |
| B | Agree | 1092 | 37.22 |  |
| C | Neutral | 282 | 9.61 |  |
| D | Disagree | 40 | 1.36 |  |
| E | Strongly disagree | 24 | 0.82 |  |
| Q16 | The institute / teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experience. |  |  |  |
| A | To a great extent | 1602 | 54.60 | 3.41 |
| B | Moderate | 1025 | 34.93 |  |
| C | Some what | 224 | 7.63 |  |
| D | Very little | 59 | 2.01 |  |
| E | Not at all | 25 | 0.85 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Q17 | Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. |  |  |  |
| A | Strongly agree | 1545 | 52.66 | 3.35 |
| B | Agree | 1008 | 34.35 |  |
| C | Neutral | 269 | 9.17 |  |
| D | Disagree | 65 | 2.21 |  |
| E | Strongly disagree | 48 | 1.63 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Q18 | Efforts are made by institute / teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work. |  |  |  |
| A | To a great extent | 1646 | 56.10 | 3.42 |
| B | Moderate | 976 | 33.26 |  |
| C | Some what | 226 | 7.70 |  |
| D | Very little | 50 | 1.70 |  |
| E | Not at all | 37 | 1.26 |  |
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| Q19 | What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, multimedia, etc while teaching. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Above 90\% | 1753 | 59.75 | 3.47 |
| B | 70 to $89 \%$ | 914 | 31.15 |  |
| C | 50 to 69\% | 182 | 6.20 |  |
| D | 30 to 49\% | 63 | 2.15 |  |
| E | Below 29\% | 23 | 0.78 |  |
| Q20 | The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good. |  |  |  |
| A | Strongly agree | 1544 | 52.62 | 3.40 |
| B | Agree | 1100 | 37.49 |  |
| C | Neutral | 238 | 8.11 |  |
| D | Disagree | 25 | 0.85 |  |
| E | Strongly disagree | 28 | 0.95 |  |

## SSS Analysis Report and ATR:

$>$ Student Satisfaction Survey on teaching-learning process is conducted in online mode during the period $30^{\text {th }}$ April $-7^{\text {th }}$ May 2022 for the Academic Year 2021-22.
$>2934$ students out of a total of 4082 students (i.e. $71.88 \%$ ) participated in the survey.
> GPA is computed for all 20 questions that varies from 3.20 to 3.52 with a cumulative GPA of 3.38 on 4-point scale.

| Options |  | No. of Students Opted for 20 Qns | Percentage of Students Opted | GPA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 4 - Excellent | 31909 | 54.36 | 3.38 |
| B | 3 - Very Good | 19486 | 33.16 |  |
| C | 2 - Good | 5330 | 9.08 |  |
| D | 1 - Satisfactory | 1301 | 2.22 |  |
| E | 0 - Poor | 674 | 1.15 |  |

> $54.36 \%$ students have indicated 'Excellent', $33.16 \%$ students have indicated 'Very Good', and $\mathbf{9 . 0 8 \%}$ students have indicated 'Good' as for as the survey conducted on teaching-learning process.

- As per question-wise GPA, it is concluded that students expressed their happiness with the following parameters/questions with GPA greater than or equal to 3.26 on a 4-Point scale.
$\checkmark$ How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?
$\checkmark$ How well did the teacher prepare for the class?
$\checkmark$ How well were the teachers able to communicate?
$\checkmark$ Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teacher
$\checkmark$ Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?
$\checkmark$ The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.
$\checkmark$ Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes, programme outcomes and programme specific outcomes
$\checkmark$ Tour mentor/class teacher/course instructor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you
$\checkmark$ The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications
$\checkmark$ The teacher identify your strength and encourage you with providing right level of chalenges
$\checkmark$ The istitution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process
$\checkmark$ The institute / teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experience
$\checkmark$ Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities
$\checkmark$ Efforts are made by institute / teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work
$\checkmark$ What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, multimedia, etc while teaching
$\checkmark$ The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good

S Similarly, students have given their feedback for the improvements in the following parameters/questions, wherein the GPA is lesser than 3.26 on a 4 -Point scale.
$\checkmark$ The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as
$\checkmark$ The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, field visit opportunities for students
$\checkmark$ The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth
$\checkmark$ Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them
> Department wise analysis is also done and same is sent to HODs to prepare action plan for corrective measures.
$>$ This report is submitted to the principal for his perusal and further action. action.

