

LAKIREDDY BALI REDDY COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING (AUTONOMOUS) Accredited by NAAC with 'A' Grade and NBA (ECE, EEE, ME, CSE & IT)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada L.B.Reddy Nagar, Mylavaram-521230, N.T.R Dist, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) (Academic Year : 2021-22)

National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) Student Satisfaction Survey (SSS) – Key indicator 2.7.1 Under Criterion II of Teaching-Learning and Evaluation

Analysis of Student Satisfaction Survey (SSS)

Academic Year	: 2021-22
Duration of Survey	: 30 th April–7 th May 2022
Total Number of Students (All Years)	: 4082
Number of Students Participated in Survey	: 2934
Percentage of Participation	: 71.88%

Question Wise Analysis

Ques	tions and Options	No. of Students Opted	Percentage of Students Opted	GPA		
Q1	Q1 How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?					
А	85 to 100%	1686	57.46			
в	70 to 84%	645	21.98			
С	55 to 69%	465	15.85	3.32		
D	30 to 54 %	106	3.61			
E	Below 30%	33	1.12			
			1 P .			
Q2	How well did the teacher prepar	e for the class	?			
A	Thoroughly	1422	48.47			
в	Satisfactorily	1420	48.40	0.45		
С	Poorly	67	2.28	3.45		
D	Indifferently	17	0.58			
E	Won't teach at all	9	0.31			

Page - 1 - of 8

00	How well were the teachers able to	communicate?		
Q3		1856	63.26	
A	Always effective	788	26.86	
B	Sometimes effective	251	8.55	3.52
С	Just satisfactorily		0.87	
D	Generally ineffective	26	0.48	
E	Very poor Communication	14	0.40	
			'1 d a a	
Q4	The teacher's approach to teaching		scribed as	
A	Excellent	1287	43.86	
В	Very good	1058	36.06	0.01
С	Good	515	17.55	3.21
D	Fair	63	2.15	
E	Poor	12	0.41	
1999 (S. 1977)				
Q5	Fairness of the internal evaluation	n process by the	teacher.	
A	Always Fair	1747	59.54	
В	Usually fair	1013	34.53	
c	Sometimes unfair	110	3.75	3.51
D	Usually unfair	33	1.12	
E	Unfair	32	1.09	
	Unital			
Q6	Was your performance in assignm	nents discussed	with you?	
QU	Every time	1663	56.68	
	Usually	901	30.71	
B	Occasionally/Sometimes	250	8.52	3.39
C		86	2.93	1
D	Rarely	35	1.19	1
E	Never	00		

	The institute takes active interest i opportunities for students.	n promoting	internship,	field visit
A	Regularly	1623	55.32	
В	Often	641	21.85	
С	Sometimes	409	13.94	3.20
D	Rarely	149	5.08	
E	Never	113	3.85	
Q8	The teaching and mentoring proces in cognitive, social and emotional gr	s in your ins owth.	titution facili	tates you
Α	Significantly	1303	44.41	
В	Very well	1158	39.47	
С	Moderately	387	13.19	3.25
D	Marginally	56	1.91	
E	Not at all	31	1.06	
Q9	The institution provides multiple op	portunities t	o learn and g	grow.
Α	Strongly agree	1446	49.28	
в	Agree	1154	39.33	
С	Neutral	278	9.47	3.36
\mathbf{v}		11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.		3.30
D	Disagree	39	1.33	3.30
	Disagree Strongly disagree	39 18	1.33 0.61	3.30
D	A CALCUMATION OF		and the second second	3.30
D	A CALCUMATION OF	18 expected of	0.61	, course
D E	Strongly disagree Teachers inform you about your	18 expected of	0.61	, course
D E Q10	Strongly disagree Teachers inform you about your outcomes, programme outcomes an	18 expected of d programme	0.61 competencies e specific out	, course
D E Q10 A	Strongly disagree Teachers inform you about your outcomes, programme outcomes an Every time	18 expected of d programme 1801	0.61 competencies e specific out 64.45	, course
D E Q10 A B	Strongly disagree Teachers inform you about your outcomes, programme outcomes an Every time Usually	18 expected of d programme 1801 873	0.61 competencies e specific out 64.45 29.75	, course comes.

-

	m / close teacher/course 11	istructor do	es a necessa	y lonow-
Q11	Tour mentor/class teacher/course in up with an assigned task to you.			
A	Every time	1661	56.61	
В	Usually	980	33.40	
С	Occasionally/Sometimes	213	7.26	3.43
D	Rarely	57	1.94	
E	Never	24	0.82	
	Never			
Q12	The teachers illustrate the con applications.	ncepts thro	ough examp	les and
A	Every time	1773	60.43	
В	Usually	897	30.57	
C	Occasionally/Sometimes	204	6.95	3.49
D	Rarely	48	1.63	
E	Never	13	0.44	
-				
Q13	The teacher identify your strength right level of chalenges.	and encoura	age you with	providing
Q13 A	The teacher identify your strength right level of chalenges. Fully	and encoura	nge you with 52.38	providing
	right level of chalenges.	-		providing
A B	right level of chalenges. Fully Reasonably	1537	52.38	providing 3.29
A B C	right level of chalenges. Fully Reasonably Partially	1537 943	52.38 32.14	
A B C D	right level of chalenges. Fully Reasonably Partially Slightly	1537 943 277	52.38 32.14 9.44	
A B C	right level of chalenges. Fully Reasonably Partially Slightly Unable to	1537 943 277 117 61	52.38 32.14 9.44 3.99 2.08	3.29
A B C D	right level of chalenges. Fully Reasonably Partially Slightly Unable to Teachers are able to identify yo	1537 943 277 117 61	52.38 32.14 9.44 3.99 2.08	3.29
A B C D E	right level of chalenges. Fully Reasonably Partially Slightly Unable to Teachers are able to identify yo	1537 943 277 117 61	52.38 32.14 9.44 3.99 2.08	3.29
A B C D E Q14	right level of chalenges. Fully Reasonably Partially Slightly Unable to Teachers are able to identify yo overcome them.	1537 943 277 117 61 ur weaknes	52.38 32.14 9.44 3.99 2.08 ses and hel 51.70	3.29
A B C D E Q14 A	right level of chalenges. Fully Reasonably Partially Slightly Unable to Teachers are able to identify yo overcome them. Every time Usually	1537 943 277 117 61 ur weaknes 1517	52.38 32.14 9.44 3.99 2.08 ses and hel 51.70	3.29
A B C D E Q14 A B	right level of chalenges. Fully Reasonably Partially Slightly Unable to Teachers are able to identify yo overcome them. Every time	1537 943 277 117 61 ur weaknes 1517 900	52.38 32.14 9.44 3.99 2.08 ses and hel 51.70 30.67 9.92	3.29

Q15	Q15 The istitution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process.			
A	Strongly agree	1497	51.02	
В	Agree	1092	37.22	
С	Neutral	282	9.61	3.37
D	Disagree	40	1.36	
E	Strongly disagree	24	0.82	
Q16 The institute / teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experience.				
Α	To a great extent	1602	54.60	
В	Moderate	1025	34.93	

3.41

7.63

2.01

0.85

224

59

25

Some what

Very little

Not at all

С

D

Е

Q17	Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities.			
A	Strongly agree	1545	52.66	
B	Agree	1008	34.35	
С	Neutral	269	9.17	3.35
D	Disagree	65	2.21	
E	Strongly disagree	48	1.63	

Q18	Q18 Efforts are made by institute / teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.				
A	To a great extent	1646	56.10		
В	Moderate	976	33.26		
С	Some what	226	7.70	3.42	
D	Very little	50	1.70		
E	Not at all	37	1.26		

Q19	What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, multimedia, etc while teaching.				
А	Above 90%	1753	59.75		
В	70 to 89%	914	31.15		
С	50 to 69%	182	6.20	3.47	
D	30 to 49%	63	2.15		
E	Below 29%	23	0.78		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Q20	The overall quality of teaching-le very good.	earning proce	ess in your i	institute is	
А	Strongly agree	1544	52.62		
В	Agree	1100	37.49		
С	Neutral	238	8.11	3.40	
D	Disagree	25	0.85		
E	Strongly disagree	28	0.95		

SSS Analysis Report and ATR:

- Student Satisfaction Survey on teaching-learning process is conducted in online mode during the period 30th April - 7th May 2022 for the Academic Year 2021-22.
- > 2934 students out of a total of 4082 students (i.e. 71.88%) participated in the survey.
- GPA is computed for all 20 questions that varies from 3.20 to 3.52 with a cumulative GPA of 3.38 on 4-point scale.

	Options	No. of Students Opted for 20 Qns	Percentage of Students Opted	GPA
A	4 – Excellent	31909	54.36	
В	3 – Very Good	19486	33.16	
С	2 – Good	5330	9.08	3.38
D	1 – Satisfactory	1301	2.22	
E	0 – Poor	674	1.15	

54.36% students have indicated 'Excellent', 33.16% students have indicated 'Very Good', and 9.08% students have indicated 'Good' as for as the survey conducted on teaching-learning process.

Page - 6 - of 8

- As per question-wise GPA, it is concluded that students expressed their happiness with the following parameters/questions with GPA greater than or equal to 3.26 on a 4-Point scale.
 - ✓ How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?
 - ✓ How well did the teacher prepare for the class?
 - ✓ How well were the teachers able to communicate?
 - ✓ Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teacher
 - ✓ Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?
 - ✓ The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.
 - ✓ Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes, programme outcomes and programme specific outcomes
 - ✓ Tour mentor/class teacher/course instructor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you
 - ✓ The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications
 - ✓ The teacher identify your strength and encourage you with providing right level of chalenges
 - ✓ The istitution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process
 - ✓ The institute / teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experience
 - ✓ Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities
 - Efforts are made by institute / teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work
 - ✓ What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, multimedia, etc while teaching
 - The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good

- Similarly, students have given their feedback for the improvements in the following parameters/questions, wherein the GPA is lesser than 3.26 on a 4-Point scale.
 - \checkmark The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as
 - ✓ The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, field visit opportunities for students
 - ✓ The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth
 - ✓ Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them
- Department wise analysis is also done and same is sent to HODs to prepare action plan for corrective measures.
- This report is submitted to the principal for his perusal and further action.

Prof.B.Ramesh Reddy

Coordinator-IQAC

Part I

Dr. K. Appa Rao Chairperson-IQAC

Date 21-06-2022